TRANSFUSION MEDICINE

Original article

Red cell proteasome modulation by storage, redox metabolism and transfusion

Vassilis L. Tzounakas¹, Monika Dzieciatkowska², Alkmini T. Anastasiadi¹. Karadimas¹, Dimitrios Athina Vergaki3, Panagiotis Siourounis³, Konstantinos Stamoulis⁴, Issidora S. Papassideri¹, Anastasios G. Kriebardis⁵, Angelo D'Alessandro², Marianna H. Antonelou¹



¹Department of Biology, School of Science, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens (NKUA),

Athens, Greece:

²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, University of Colorado Denver, School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, Colorado, USA;

³Regional Blood Transfusion Centre, "Agios Panteleimon" General Hospital of Nikea, Piraeus, Greece: ⁴Hellenic National Blood Transfusion Centre,

Acharnes, Greece: ⁵Laboratory of Reliability and Quality Control in Laboratory Haematology (HemQcR), Department of Biomedical Sciences,

School of Health & Welfare Sciences, University of West Attica (UniWA),

Egaleo City, Greece

Background - Proteasomes are proteolytic complexes with prominent roles in the control of protein homeostasis and cellular viability. However, little is known about the effects of storage and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency (G6PD) on the activity and topology of red blood cell (RBC) proteasomes.

Materials and methods - We investigated the concentration (by GeLC-MS proteomics analysis and immunoblotting), activity (by using peptide substrates and proteasome inhibitors), and subcellular/extracellular distribution (following cell fractionation and isolation of extracellular vesicles, respectively) of RBC proteasomes in fresh blood and RBCs from control and G6PD donors following storage in leukoreduced units. RBC proteasome activity was also tested in transfusion-mimicking conditions in vitro.

Results - Stored RBCs were characterised by decreased cytosolic proteasome activity compared to fresh RBCs but increased membrane activity and protein concentration levels. Active proteasomes along with other "repair or destroy" proteins are recruited to the membrane during storage. A proportion of them is released in the supernatant in soluble form or inside extracellular vesicles. Significantly increased enzymatic activity and release of proteasomes were observed in G6PD vs control RBCs. Similar variations were observed in stress protein biomarkers at the G6PD membrane. The proteasome profile (mainly the caspase-like activity) had significant correlations with the G6PD metabolome and quality markers of the RBC units. The storage-induced modifications in the proteasome activities were only partly restored in transfusion-mimicking conditions.

<u>Discussion</u> - Storage conditions and G6PD deficiency affect (individually and in synergy) the abundance, distribution, activity, and release of RBC proteasomes. The partial irreversibility of these effects in transfusion-mimicking conditions demands further investigation of their clinical impact on transfusion outcomes.

Keywords: red cell storage lesion, G6PD deficiency, proteasome, oxidative stress, proteomics and network analyses.

INTRODUCTION

Proteasome is a proteolytic supramolecular complex that selectively degrades damaged, misfolded or aberrant proteins1. The 19S regulatory complex of the 26S holoenzyme recognises ubiquitinated substrates and transfers them for proteolysis into the catalytic

Arrived: 3 June 2020 Revision accepted: 31 August 2020 Correspondence: Marianna H. Antonelou e-mail: manton@biol.uoa.gr

20S core particle. The proteolytic chamber of the 20S subcomplex contains active sites with different proteolytic specificities, the caspase-like (CASP-like), trypsin-like (TR-like), and chymotrypsin-like (CH-like), located in the \(\begin{aligned} \begin{aligned} \left(PSMB6 \end{aligned} \right), \(\beta 2 \) (PSMB7 \right), \(\aligned \beta 5 \) (PSMB5 \right) subunits, respectively. In contrast to the 26S proteasome, the 20S core can degrade substrates in an ubiquitin and ATP-independent manner², and is involved in multiple cellular pathways³. Proteasomes can change in response to different physiological or pathophysiological conditions, including increased oxidative stress4. By preventing cytotoxicity, they are key regulators of processes including stress response and signal transduction. Consequently, inhibition or overactivation of proteasomes have dramatic effects on cellular functionality, viability, ageing and cancer progression.

Red blood cells (RBCs) have been used as a source for proteasomes, in spite of containing substantially fewer particles compared to the nucleated cells⁵. Proteasomes are retained, however, within RBCs while most other organelles are lost, suggesting a functional role at the later stage of developmental maturation of the erythroid lineage. Previous studies involving high resolution and confocal microscopies⁶, proteomics⁷, and functional assays provided evidence that in vivo⁶ and under storage at blood banks⁵ RBCs contain functional proteasomes in the cytosol^{8,9} and the membrane¹⁰⁻¹⁴, with a >10 fold excess of 20S core particles compared to the 26S holoenzymes⁵. This is probably related to the fact that the 20S proteasomes are more resistant to oxidative stress15 and more effective against it16. Variations in the proteasome activity and concentration have been detected in RBCs of patients with Alzheimer's disease¹⁷, congenital RBC aplasia¹⁸, unexplained haemolytic anaemia19, multiple myeloma, during organismal ageing20 and in human obesity²¹, among others.

Here, we define variations in proteasomal topology and activity in RBCs and extracellular vehicles (EVs) released by them in the context of blood storage, by analysing units donated by healthy volunteers, with no underlying conditions or with asymptomatic deficiency of glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) activity. G6PD deficiency is the most common enzymopathy in humans²². It constrains the capacity to generate nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH), a reducing equivalent involved in redox homeostasis and

recycling of oxidised antioxidant small molecules (e.g., reduced glutathione) and enzymes²³. Stored RBCs from G6PD-deficient donors exhibit increased oxidative stress²⁴ and decreased recovery in children with sickle cell disease²⁵ as well as in healthy G6PD-deficient recipients (often <75% of threshold) following autologous transfusion of end-of-storage units²⁶. However, G6PD-deficient subjects (who, in some areas, may account for up to 12.3% of the total donor population²⁷) are routinely accepted as blood donors. As such, we hypothesised that increased proteasomal activity could represent a compensatory mechanism in the RBCs of G6PD-deficient subjects, and that such activity would manifest itself in the context of storage-induced oxidative stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Donor recruitment and blood processing

We studied twenty male regular blood donors (Caucasian race, 25-30 years old). Ten of them had G6PD deficiency (G6PD) of class II Mediterranean variant. RBC storage analysis was performed in pre-storage log4 leukoreduced units (Haemonetics, Braintree, MA, USA) containing citrate-phosphate-dextrose (citratephosphate-dextrose[CPD]/saline-adenine-glucosemannitol [SAGM]). RBC concentrates were prepared by centrifugation in an automated blood processing device (Comporat G4, Fresenius HemoCare, Bad Homburg, Germany) before adding 100 mL SAGM solution (0.9 g dextrose monohydrate, 0.877 g sodium chloride, 0.0169 g adenine, 0.525 g D-mannitol). Fresh blood samples from each individual donor and stored samples, collected aseptically after 7 days of storage and weekly thereafter, were used for the experiments. The study was submitted to and approved by the Scientific Ethics Board of the General Hospital of Nikea-Piraeus "Agios Panteleimon" (n. 13702, 03/20/2014). Investigations were carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Red blood cell fractionation and extracellular vehicles isolation

Red blood cell membranes and cytosols were isolated by hypotonic lysis in phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) supplemented by protease inhibitors. EVs were obtained from day 42 supernatants through a 3-step ultra-centrifugation (37,000 g) protocol for 1 hour (h) each at 4 $^{\circ}$ C²⁸.

Proteomics and immunoblotting analyses

Proteomics analyses were performed in samples of RBC units on storage days 2, 21 and 42 (s2, s21 and s42) through a GeLC-MS approach29, as extensively described in prior technical notes³⁰. Isolated membrane proteins (30 µg) were further immune-probed for a variety of proteasomal and other proteins by using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies chemiluminescence enhanced development (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA and Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). Monoclonal antibodies against proteasome 20S core subunits (α 7, β 1, β 2, β 5), 19S Rpt1/S7 and Rpn10 (\$5a) subunits, as well as mono- and polyubiquitinylated conjugates and DJ-1 (D29E5) were obtained by Enzo Life Sciences (Osaka, Japan), Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA) and Novus Biologicals (Centennial, CO, USA). Antibodies against peroxiredoxin-2 (Prdx2, SP5464, Acris, Luzern, Switzerland), human Hb (CR8000GAP, Europa Bioproducts, Wicken, UK), phosphatase PTP1b (clone FG6, Millipore), human IgGs, band 3 (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and caspase-3 (Cell Signaling Technology) were also used. Monoclonal antibody (mAb) against stomatin was kindly provided by Prof. R. Prohaska (Department of Medical Biochemistry, Max F. Perutz Laboratories, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria). Protein bands were identified and quantified by scanning densitometry using the Gel Analyzer v.1.0 image-processing program.

Proteasome activity and reactive oxygen species assays

Proteasomal activities in plasma, supernatant, RBC membrane and cytosol fractions and EVs were determined by fluorescence assays as described previously31. Briefly, 100-200 µg of protein samples in 20 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.5 or 8.0) were incubated with the fluorogenic peptide substrates Suc-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-aminomethylcoumarin (AMC) (for CH-like activity), z-Leu-Leu-Glu-AMC (for CASP-like activity) and Boc-Leu-Arg-Arg-AMC (for TR-like activity) for 1-3 h at 37 °C in the dark, in the presence and absence of inhibitors (10 µmol/L bortezomib, μmol/L MG-132, 100 mmol/L lactacystin 400 μmol/L N-Acetyl-Leu-Leu-Methional). 500 substrates and inhibitors were procured from Enzo Life Sciences. Fluorescence intensity was measured using a fluorescence reader (VersaFluor, BIO-RAD

Hercules, CA, USA) with an excitation filter of 365 nm and an emission filter of 460 nm. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) were detected by using the redox-sensitive and membrane-permeable probe 5-(and-6)-chloromethyl-2',7'-dichloro-dihydro-fluorescein diacetate, acetyl ester (CM-H2DCFDA, Invitrogen, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA)³².

In vitro model of transfusion

To evaluate the proteasome activity of stored RBCs under simulated in vivo conditions, day-35 stored RBCs were incubated at 35-40% haematocrit for increasing time periods with fresh plasma (2,500 g for 15 min at 4 °C) from healthy (control or G6PD) recipients of the same ABO blood group (n=4), pre-diluted with supernatant of the same RBC unit, in a ratio corresponding to transfusion of two RBC units per recipient. Shortly (30-40 min) before reconstitution, the RBC unit was transferred at room temperature to better simulate common transfusion practice. Post mixing, the samples were incubated for 3, 6, 12 and 24 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂-air along with aliquots of non-reconstituted packed RBCs. To avoid settling, the reconstituted RBCs had been under constant gentle agitation throughout the incubation period. The subsequent assays were performed in paired, mixed and unmixed samples, treated under the same conditions²⁴.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate, unless otherwise stated. For statistical analysis, computer software (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, IBM SPSS [Armonk, NY, USA] version 22.0 for Windows, administered by NKUA) was used. All variables were analysed for normal distribution profile and the presence of outliers (Shapiro-Wilk test and detrended normal Q-Q Plots) in order to minimise the false discovery rate that is associated with the small size of our cohort. Between groups analysis was performed by independent t-test or Mann-Whitney test as appropriate. Time course analysis was performed by repeated measures ANOVA, corrected by a Bonferroni-like adjustment for multiple comparisons. Pearson's and Spearman's tests were performed to assess correlations between variables. Outputs of these analyses were used for the construction of biological networks connecting variables of fresh donor's blood (in vivo state) with those of stored RBCs (ex vivo state) by significant and repeated correlations present at every time point of the storage period, as previously described³³. Those correlations were topologically represented in undirected biological networks by using the Cytoscape version 3.7.1 application. The length of each edge was inversely proportional to the r value (the shortest the edge, the higher the r); p<0.050 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Binding of proteasome subunits to the membrane is storage time- and donor-dependent

We first asked whether the composition of RBC membrane in proteasome components varies as a function of storage age and G6PD deficiency. To answer this, we performed proteomics analysis of pooled membrane samples from control or G6PD donors at early, middle and late storage. We identified 42 proteasome or proteasome-associated components, including the alpha (α) and beta (β) subunits of the 20S core proteasome particle (PSMs) and the regulatory subunits (ATPases/PSMCs and non-ATPases/PSMDs) of the 19S proteasome (Online Supplementary Table SI). Semi-quantitative analysis revealed increased membrane presentation of proteasome subunits at mid-storage compared to early or late storage, in both control and G6PD RBCs, with several subunits being more abundant in the G6PD membrane (Figure 1A).

Peroxiredoxins and other proteins (including the valocin-containing protein-VCP ATPase, catalase and E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase-UBR4) also exhibited maximum levels at mid-storage (Online Supplementary Figure S1).

To explore inter-donor variability in the membranous proteasomes within each donor group we performed immunoblotting analysis of individual RBC membrane samples (Figure 1B and C). That analysis revealed: (i) substantial differences among donors in the levels of proteasome components before and throughout storage, (ii) increased membrane binding of proteasome subunits in stored vs fresh RBCs around middle storage (verifying the proteomics data); and (iii) a trend for higher concentration of individual proteasome subunits (e.g., subunit $\alpha 1$ on s28; p=0.058) in the G6PD compared to the control samples. By further comparing the two groups of donors, we found statistically significant increases in Prdx2, IgG species, PTP1b (Figure 1C) and Band 3 fragmentation (on day 42; p=0.023, data not shown), but lower levels of ubiquitinated components (day 42) in the

G6PD membranes (**Figure 1C**). These results show that there was increased membrane binding of proteasome and other cytosolic components around middle storage compared to fresh blood, and occasionally in the G6PD over control RBCs. Following treatment of RBCs with the thiol reagent N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) to block sulfhydryl groups, bands of Prdx2-oligomers were mostly detected in the membrane of old stored G6PD vs control RBCs (*Online Supplementary Figure S2*). Proteasomes were not a major component of the EVs compared to the RBC membrane (*Online Supplementary Table SI*), as opposed to Prdx2, caspase-3 and, interestingly, DJ-1 (PARK7) proteins, in both donor groups (*Online Supplementary Figure S3*).

Storage and G6PD deficiency further affect proteasome activity in RBC compartments

We next asked whether the above-mentioned membrane variations were associated with differences in the proteasome activity levels. By using functional assays, we measured greater proteasomal activity in the membrane of stored *vs* fresh RBCs (with the exception of chymotrypsin [CH]-like activity in controls) at various storage periods (Figure 2A).

Though the G6PD membrane exhibited high average values (compared to CTRL) mainly at mid-storage, the large inter-donor variation rendered these differences not statistically significant (multiple comparison analysis).

In striking contrast to the membrane, proteasome activities decreased in the cytosol of stored RBCs at mid-storage (control RBCs) or early storage (CASP- and TR-like activities in the G6PD RBCs) compared to the *invivo* state (**Figure 2B**), with higher levels measured occasionally in the G6PD vs control RBCs (**Figure 2B**). Comparative analysis of the activity levels in RBC membrane vs cytosol, revealed a shift towards the membrane by storage. While in circulating RBCs proteasome activities were mostly cytosolic or equally distributed in cytosol and membrane, substantially higher (p<0.050) proteasome activities were detected in the membrane vs cytosol of stored control (all activities) and G6PD (CASP-like activity) RBCs at midand/or late storage (**Figure 2C**).

Release of active proteasomes by red blood cells during storage

To find out whether variation in the proteasome activities of stored RBCs was further due to release of active proteasome components through vesiculation,




Figure 1 - Protein expression patterns through proteomics and immunoblotting analysis

(A) Heat map (GeLC-MS proteomics) analysis of proteasome subunits in pooled red blood cell (RBC) membrane samples of control (CTRL) and glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase negative (G6PD') donors (n=6), showing variations as a function of storage age and G6PD deficiency. s0-s42: storage day 0-42. (B) Representative immunoblots of Prdx2 and four proteasome subunits in the membrane of two G6PD and two control donors.

4.1R was used as protein loading control. (C) Time-course analysis of variation in membrane protein levels of stored RBCs. Data are presented as mean±standard deviation. F: fresh blood; s7-s42: storage day 7-42; *CTRL vs G6PD p<0.050; horizontal lines: p<0.050.




Figure 2 - Proteasome activity levels (relative fluorescence units [RFU]) in the membrane (A) and the cytosol (B) of fresh (F) and stored red blood cells (RBCs) from control (CTRL) and glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase negative (G6PD⁻) donors (n=10 per group) following incubation of RBC fractions with fluorogenic peptide substrates and proteasome inhibitors. The horizontal bars connect statistically significant differences (p<0.050) inside storage. *p<0.050 CTRL vs G6PD⁻. †p<0.050 F vs storage in the CTRL samples. ‡p<0.050 F vs storage in the G6PD⁻ samples. (C) Graphical presentation of membrane-to-cytosol ratios of proteasome activities per protein mass unit. Dotted line: activity levels in the cytosol. †p<0.050 membrane vs cytosol in the CTRL samples. ‡p<0.050 membrane vs cytosol in the G6PD⁻ samples. Error bars: ±standard error of the mean. s7-s42; storage days 7-42.

we measured CH-like levels in the RBC supernatant and EVs. Extremely low activity (approx. 40-140-fold less than cytosol) per extracellular protein mass unit was detected in fresh plasma and supernatant (n=6 per group) (Figure 3A). Despite this, the extracellular levels increased as a function of storage age in both donor groups (p=0.043 and 0.026 s2 vs s28, for CTRL and G6PD samples, respectively), with higher values (p<0.050) in the G6PD compared to the control units. The s42 EVs had greater activity per protein mass unit compared to the s42 supernatant in both donor groups (n=3 each) (Figure 3B), while the G6PD EVs had significantly greater activity vs control EVs, in spite of similar s42 intracellular levels (Figure 3B, right panel). Those results suggested that both storage and G6PD deficiency promote release of active proteasomes by RBCs mainly through EVs.

Proteasome activities of stored red blood cells change in transfusion-mimicking conditions

Wethen looked for probable modifications in the proteasome activities under transfusion-mimicking conditions. To that purpose we used an *in vitro* protocol (**Figure 4A**) that phenocopies the impact of recipient plasma and body

temperature on stored RBCs, as previously described²⁴. The physiological temperature promoted the TR- and CASP-like catalytic activities in the cytosol over baseline levels in the cold (grey horizontal bars in **Figure 4B**), though both were partly attenuated by plasma. On the contrary, the CH-like cytosolic activity in the reconstituted RBCs remained lower than storage levels, despite increasing temperature. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels in the cytosol were also lower than baseline.

The membrane of reconstituted RBCs (Figure 4C) was characterised by substantially lower levels of CASP-like activity, in comparison to both baseline membrane levels of stored RBCs (\$35 RBCs at 4 °C) and cytosolic levels of reconstituted RBCs (Figure 4B) (p<0.003). Membrane binding of proteasomal subunits did not increase over baseline in spite of the triggering effect of temperature. Indeed, significant increases in the levels of ubiquitinated proteins and Prdx2 oligomers were measured over the incubation period (Figure 4C). Consequently, the individual proteasome activities of old-stored RBCs were different at transfusion-mimicking conditions compared to those at blood bank conditions.




Figure 3 - Chymotrypsin (CH)-like activity levels in fresh plasma (F), supernatant and extracellular vehicles (EVs) isolated from red blood cell (RBC) units throughout storage

(A) The extracellular proteasome activity increased progressively by storage in both donor groups. *p<0.050 control (CTRL) vs glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase negative (G6PD') (n=6 per group). Horizontal bars: statistically significant differences within storage; s2-s42: storage days 2-42. (B) Comparative presentation of intracellular and extracellular proteasome activity levels on s42. Higher levels of proteasomal activity per protein mass unit were detected in the EVs (n=3 per group) vs s42 supernatant. *p<0.050 CTRL vs G6PD'. Error bars: ±standard deviation.

Proteasome networking in G6PD deficiency revealed a cross-talk between protein breakdown and redox/energy metabolism

Though correlation does not imply causation, it can be suggestive of potential mechanistic links between the proteasome profile and the metabolic modulation in G6PD deficiency. As such, consistent with methods and metabolomics data described in our previous studies²⁴, we performed statistical and network analyses before and following storage of G6PD⁻ RBCs. Notably, the fresh *vs* stored RBC network shown in **Figure 5** is developed around a core of membrane-specific proteasome activities.

Firstly, the proteasome profiles *in vivo* had several significant correlations with the metabolic profile of stored RBCs. For example, the membrane levels of CASP-like activity were found to be directly correlated with central metabolites of glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathways in stored cells, while the cytosolic levels correlated well with the hypoxanthine levels at mid-storage. Moreover, the CASP- and TR-like activities of each donor's RBCs were negatively associated with the levels of plasticizers (phthalate and ethylexyl phthalate) in the RBC units throughout storage. Secondly, several

metabolic variables of the donor, especially those of lipid metabolism, correlated with variations in the proteasome activity mainly at the membrane compared to the cytosol of stored RBCs (35 vs 6 statistically significant connections, respectively) and with the CASP-like over the other two activities (21, 7, and 7 connections, respectively). Those findings suggested that the G6PD- specific metabolic defects (in glycolysis, PPP, GSH metabolism, IMP/AMP, hypoxanthine, etc.) have the greatest influence on the CASP-like activity of the stored RBC membrane.

DISCUSSION

The cellular topology and activity of proteasomes may change in response to oxidative stress^{31,34} and various diseases³⁵. Several proteolytic processes take place at RBC membrane,³⁶ where proteasomes move during *in vivo* ageing¹³. In the present study, we characterised how proteasomes change during RBC storage. We report that both protein and activity levels vary in the membrane, cytosol and RBC-derived EVs as a function of *ex vivo* ageing, G6PD-dependent metabolic diversity, and conditions mimicking the bloodstream of the recipient.




Figure 4 - Time-course variation in the proteasome activities of s35 red blood cells (RBCs) reconstituted with healthy plasma at 35-40% haematocrit at body temperature (n=4)

Experimental design (A) and measurements in cytosol (B) and membrane (C) samples. Baseline levels of non-reconstituted RBCs in the cold (4 °C) and under incubation for 24 hours at 37 °C are shown by grey horizontal bands (band thickness is representative of the standard error of mean [SEM]) and dotted lines, respectively. *p<0.050 reconstituted RBCs vs baseline RBCs in the cold; †p<0.050 reconstituted RBCs vs non-reconstituted RBCs at body temperature. Error bars: ±standard error of mean. min: minutes.




Figure 5 - The metabolome of fresh glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase negative (G6PD') red blood cells (RBCs)²⁴ exhibits interesting correlations with the proteasome activity levels in stored RBCs throughout storage, (i.e. repeatedly at every time point of storage) and vice versa, as shown in this biological network (n=6)

Most of the pairs include proteasome activities at the membrane vs the cytosol of stored RBCs and mainly the CASP-like activity over the other two. Continuous black lines: positive correlations; dashed red lines: negative correlations. The list of abbreviations used for the node names of the variables is presented in the Online Supplementary Table SII.

Proteasome variations in stored red blood cells

Previous studies in whole RBC lysates showed a progressive decrease in the CH-like activity by storage⁵. Our analysis revealed that not only the CH-like, but all proteasomal activities substantially decreased in the cytosol of control RBCs mainly after the middle of the storage period, which may well explain the previously reported low carbonylation of soluble RBC proteins at early storage³⁷. Loss of cytosolic activity might be the result of inactivation, relocation of catalytically active components to the membrane, and release by RBCs.

Indeed, we show for the first time that storage is associated with increased binding of active proteasome components to the membrane. Thus, both proteomic and activity profiles expand the notion of a membrane-centered

regulation of homeostasis in RBCs³⁸ in which active cytoplasmic proteins (proteasomes, "repair or destroy" proteins,^{7,39} etc.) co-translocate to the membrane as part of cell responses to oxidative stress and protein damage⁴⁰. Such heterocomplexes have been previously detected in the membrane of s28 RBCs⁴¹, suggesting a cross-talk between anti-oxidant/chaperoning activities and protein breakdown. Chaperones have a protective role against the oxidative inactivation of proteasome⁴² and a promoting role in in the proteasome-dependent degradation of oxidised targets⁴³.

The trigger behind the membrane targeting of proteasomes in stored RBCs might be the repeatedly reported accumulation of ROS and calcium^{24,44}, as recently reviewed in detail⁴⁵. These stresses may lead

to proteolysis. oxidative defects, oligomerisation, unfolding and, commonly, translocation denatured/oxidisedcytosolic proteins to the membrane 46,47. Oxidative reactions, including carbonylation⁴⁸ and cysteine redox status⁴⁹ are both storage time dependent and compartment dependent³⁷. For instance, more RBC antioxidant enzymes were found carbonylated at mid-storage compared to early or late storage periods⁴⁸. The energy and redox challenged G6PD- RBCs are expected to be more susceptible to storage-induced proteasome modifications because they are intrinsically more susceptible to oxidative and denaturation damages50. To

support this, hyperoxidised Prxd2 exposes hydrophobic patches that drive oligomerisation⁵¹, similar to those we detected in the G6PD⁻ membrane (*Online Supplementary* Figure S2). Failure to prevent or repair this damage would result in increased vesiculation and/or degradation⁵² by ATP/ubiquitin-dependent^{16,53,54} or -independent^{6,55,56} ways. The defected proteins are likely susceptible to proteasome activity at early/mid-storage, but later, extensive covalent crosslinking may render them progressively resistant to proteolysis, and the proteasome less efficient in the degradation of its clients (Figure 6). Proteasomal activity in stored RBCs likely varies according to both the




Figure 6 - Proposed model for the regulated distribution and activity of red blood cell (RBC) proteasomes during storage 20S and (fewer) 26S proteasomes work in the RBC cytosol throughout storage. Active cytosolic particles/subunits along with "repair-or-destroy" proteins involved in responses to oxidative stress and protein damage may migrate from the cytosol to the membrane, as a function of storage-and donor-associated factors, including storage time, proteome stress and glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency. Partial unfolding, denaturation and oxidation of the abundant haemoglobin (Hb) and other potential membrane-associated proteins make them susceptible to proteasome activity at early/middle storage. Later on, extensive covalent crosslinking renders them progressively resistant to proteolysis. Proteasome probably returns to cytosol in a less active form, less efficient to degrade cytosolic clients. Storage induces release of active proteasomes by RBCs in soluble form and inside extracellular vehicles (EVs).

structural/functional integrity of the machinery per se (i.e., it is also subjected to storage lesions) as well as to variations in the amount of oxidised proteins it recognises⁴⁸.

The long-term impact of storage on RBC proteasomes can be assessed by examining their performance post transfusion. In transfusion-mimicking conditions in vitro, the storage-induced ROS accumulation was mitigated, verifying the antioxidant⁵⁷ and cytoprotective⁵⁸ effects of plasma. In a similar way, some of the storageinduced effects on proteasome activities (e.g., CASP- and TR-like cytosolic activities) seemed to be reversible in transfusion-mimicking conditions. However, and CASP-like activities of the stored RBCs were not restored in the cytosol or the membrane, respectively, upon reconstitution with plasma at body temperature, suggesting a novel irreversible storage lesion, further associated with membrane accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins and stress markers (Figure 4C). This modification activities may have proteasome functional consequences to the reconstituted RBCs, because the levels are substantially lower than those of fresh (non-stored) RBCs (Figure 2). It is especially interesting that, in the metabolically challenged G6PD RBCs, donor variability in CASP-like activity showed repetitive correlations with variation in metabolic biomarkers of storage quality and the in vivo efficacy of RBC transfusion (including phthalates and hypoxanthine, a marker of poor recovery in mice and humans⁵⁹), and on the other hand, the G6PD specific metabolic profile of the donor was mostly reflected in the CASP-like activity levels of the stored RBCs throughout storage. These data suggest that proteasome modifications constitute another piece in the storage biology of G6PD. RBCs that undermine their post-transfusion recovery in vivo, as recently reported²⁶.

Extracellular proteasomes in the red blood cell units

Low-activity proteasomes, mainly consisting of 20S complexes⁶⁰, are found in human plasma. They are considered to have special biological functions, as their concentration increases significantly in inflammatory, autoimmune and neoplastic diseases^{61,62}. Regarding storage, there is evidence for release of 20S proteasomes and proteasome-associated components during haemolysis⁶³ or through membrane vesiculation. Taking into consideration the fact that

there was no difference in haemolysis but membrane vesiculation was greater in the G6PD⁻ vs control RBCs²⁴, the finding of greater activity in the supernatant of G6PD⁻ units likely reflects the high intracellular levels, but it may also suggest increased release by EVs (**Figure 3**).

While proteasome proteins have not been detected in plasma microvesicles *in vivo*¹³, storage¹¹ and several physiological disturbances (such as G6PD deficiency) seem to promote their release. Despite the fact that proteasome subunits do not represent a major component of the storage EVs, the finding of greater proteasomal activity inside EVs compared to the soluble form verifies the protective effect of EVs on their loads. Release of proteasomes and associated proteins in transfusates may be clinically relevant to the recipient. To support this, the immunogenic activity of EVs released by endothelial cells is regulated by their active 2oS proteasomes⁶⁴, while the soluble ubiquitin of the stored RBC units exhibits immunomodulatory effects *in vitro* on peripheral blood mononuclear cells⁶⁵.

As expected^{12,24}, the EVs are enriched in stress protein markers compared to the membrane of origin. DJ-1 protein, which is reported for the first time in storage EVs, is a redox-activated chaperone with several physiological roles in erythroid cells, including antioxidant defence⁶⁶. It is also a dual regulator of 20S proteasome to which it physically binds and inhibits its activity, rescuing partially unfolded proteins from degradation, while under oxidative stress up-regulates it⁶⁷. Oxidised DJ-1 was found at higher levels in the RBCs of unmedicated patients with Parkinson's disease, in physical interaction with Hsp90 and 20S proteasomes⁶⁸. Of note, DJ-1 (and Prdx2) is subjected to reversible cysteine oxidations in stored RBCs as opposed to catalase that is targeted by irreversible oxidations⁴⁹.

CONCLUSIONS

Storage reversibly or irreversibly affects the topology and the activity of RBC proteasomes. There is a membrane shift of active proteasomes in stored RBCs in which the CASP-like catalytic activity likely plays a critical role. G6PD deficiency is associated with augmented proteasome activity and release. Variability in the CASP-like activity levels among G6PD deficient donors correlates with metabolites, such as hypoxanthine and phthalates, which characterize the quality of the RBC unit and the *in vivo* efficacy of transfusion.

The probable clinical impact of the storage-induced modifications in the concentration, activity and subcellular/extracellular topology of RBC proteasomes is of great interest. The time dependence of proteasome modulation in stored RBCs (both during the storage period and the first 24-h in a recipient-like environment) may be translated to a different pattern of RBC performance and intercellular communication in vivo, especially in recipients with haematologic cancers treated by proteasome inhibitors. In the transfusion setting, pre-treatment of platelets with proteasome inhibitors reduces thrombosis in thrombocytopenic animals post transfusion⁶⁹, while bortezomib administration in animal recipients reduces RBC alloimmunisation70. As an emerging part of RBC physiology and storage lesion, and as a modulator of transfusion outcomes, RBC proteasomes deserve further thorough investigation by transfusion specialists.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank all blood donors that participated in the present study, for their prompt, voluntary response, and exceptional collaboration; Dr Leontini E. Foudoulaki-Paparizos MD pathologist, former Director of the "Agios Panteleimon" General Hospital of Nikea Blood Transfusion Center, for the recruitment of the G6PD-deficient volunteers; and M.S. Jacovides Hellas S.A. for the kind offer of LTRC blood bags.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS

MHA, AGK and ADA conceived the idea and designed the study. MD performed the proteomics analysis. VLT, ATA and DGK performed the physiological experiments. VLT and ATA performed the statistics and designed the graphs. MHA, ADA, VLT and ATA analysed the data. MHA and ADA wrote the paper. AV, PS, KS and AGK contributed to donor recruitment, medical support, blood processing and the final draft of the paper. ISP critically contributed to the final draft of the paper. All Authors read and approved the final manuscript.

The Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

 Livneh I, Cohen-Kaplan V, Cohen-Rosenzweig C, et al. The life cycle of the 26S proteasome: from birth, through regulation and function, and onto its death. Cell Res 2016; 26: 869-85.

- Ben-Nissan G, Sharon M. Regulating the 20S proteasome ubiquitinindependent degradation pathway. Biomolecules 2014; 4: 862-84.
- 3. Kumar Deshmukh F, Yaffe D, Olshina MA, et al. The contribution of the 20S proteasome to proteostasis. Biomolecules 2019; 9: 190.
- Breusing N, Grune T. Regulation of proteasome-mediated protein degradation during oxidative stress and aging. Biol Chem 2008; 389: 203-9.
- Majetschak M, Sorell LT. Immunological methods to quantify and characterize proteasome complexes: development and application. J Immunol Methods 2008; 334: 91-103.
- Neelam S, Kakhniashvili DG, Wilkens S, et al. Functional 20S proteasomes in mature human red blood cells. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 2011; 236: 580-91.
- Goodman SR, Kurdia A, Ammann L, et al. The human red blood cell proteome and interactome. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 2007; 232: 1391-408.
- Pasini EM, Kirkegaard M, Mortensen P, et al. In-depth analysis of the membrane and cytosolic proteome of red blood cells. Blood 2006; 108: 791-801.
- Kakhniashvili DG, Bulla LA, Jr., Goodman SR. The human erythrocyte proteome: analysis by ion trap mass spectrometry. Mol Cell Proteomics 2004; 3: 501-9.
- LowTY, SeowTK, Chung MC. Separation of human erythrocyte membrane associated proteins with one-dimensional and two-dimensional gel electrophoresis followed by identification with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. Proteomics 2002; 2: 1229-39.
- Bosman GJ, Lasonder E, Luten M, et al. The proteome of red cell membranes and vesicles during storage in blood bank conditions. Transfusion 2008; 48: 827-35.
- Rubin O, Crettaz D, Canellini G, et al. Microparticles in stored red blood cells: an approach using flow cytometry and proteomic tools. Vox Sang 2008: 95: 288-97.
- 13. Bosman GJ, Lasonder E, Groenen-Dopp YA, et al. The proteome of erythrocyte-derived microparticles from plasma: new clues for erythrocyte aging and vesiculation. J Proteomics 2012; **76** (Spec No.): 203-10.
- Chen D, Schubert P, Devine DV. Identification of potential protein quality markers in pathogen inactivated and gamma-irradiated red cell concentrates. Proteomics Clin Appl 2017; 11.
- Reinheckel T, Ullrich O, Sitte N, et al. Differential impairment of 20S and 26S proteasome activities in human hematopoietic K562 cells during oxidative stress. Arch Biochem Biophys 2000; 377: 65-8.
- Davies KJ. Degradation of oxidized proteins by the 20S proteasome. Biochimie 2001; 83: 301-10.
- Palmieri G, Cocca E, Gogliettino M, et al. Low Erythrocyte Levels of Proteasome and Acyl-Peptide Hydrolase (APEH) Activities in Alzheimer's Disease: A Sign of Defective Proteostasis? J Alzheimers Dis 2017; 60: 1097-106.
- Pesciotta EN, Lam HS, Kossenkov A, et al. In-Depth, Label-Free Analysis
 of the Erythrocyte Cytoplasmic Proteome in Diamond Blackfan
 Anemia Identifies a Unique Inflammatory Signature. PLoS One 2015;
 10: e0140036.
- von Lohneysen K, Scott TM, Soldau K, et al. Assessment of the red cell proteome of young patients with unexplained hemolytic anemia by twodimensional differential in-gel electrophoresis (DIGE). PLoS One 2012; 7: e34237.
- Papanagnou ED, Terpos E, Kastritis E, et al. Molecular responses to therapeutic proteasome inhibitors in multiple myeloma patients are donor-, cell type- and drug-dependent. Oncotarget 2018; 9: 17797-809.
- Chang TL, Chang CJ, Lee WY, et al. The roles of ubiquitin and 26S proteasome in human obesity. Metabolism 2009; 58: 1643-8.
- Karafin MS, Fu X, D'Alessandro A, et al. The clinical impact of glucose-6phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in patients with sickle cell disease. Curr Opin Hematol 2018; 25: 494-9.
- Francis RO, Jhang JS, Pham HP, et al. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in transfusion medicine: the unknown risks. Vox Sang 2013; 105: 271-82.
- Tzounakas VL, Kriebardis AG, Georgatzakou HT, et al. Glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficient subjects may be better "storers" than donors of red blood cells. Free Radic Biol Med 2016; 96: 152-65.

- Sagiv E, Fasano RM, Luban NLC, et al. Glucose-6-phosphatedehydrogenase deficient red blood cell units are associated with decreased posttransfusion red blood cell survival in children with sickle cell disease. Am J Hematol 2018: 93: 630-4.
- Francis RO, D'Alessandro A, Eisenberger A, et al. Donor glucose-6phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency decreases blood quality for transfusion. J Clin Invest 2020; 130: 2270-85.
- Francis RO, Jhang J, Hendrickson JE, et al. Frequency of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase-deficient red blood cell units in a metropolitan transfusion service. Transfusion 2013; 53: 606-11.
- Kriebardis AG, Antonelou MH, Stamoulis KE, et al. RBC-derived vesicles during storage: ultrastructure, protein composition, oxidation, and signaling components. Transfusion 2008; 48: 1943-53.
- Dzieciatkowska M, Silliman CC, Moore EE, et al. Proteomic analysis
 of the supernatant of red blood cell units: the effects of storage and
 leucoreduction. Vox Sang 2013; 105: 210-8.
- Reisz JA, Chessler KM, Dzieciatkowska M, et al. Blood and Plasma Proteomics: Targeted Quantitation and Posttranslational Redox Modifications. Methods Mol Biol 2017; 1619: 353-71.
- Homma T, Kurahashi T, Lee J, et al. SOD1 deficiency decreases proteasomal function, leading to the accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins in erythrocytes. Arch Biochem Biophys 2015; 583: 65-72.
- Antonelou MH, Tzounakas VL, Velentzas AD, et al. Effects of prestorage leukoreduction on stored red blood cells signaling: a timecourse evaluation from shape to proteome. J Proteomics 2012; 76 (Spec No.): 220-38.
- Reisz JA, Tzounakas VL, Nemkov T, et al. Metabolic Linkage and Correlations to Storage Capacity in Erythrocytes from Glucose 6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase-Deficient Donors. Front Med (Lausanne) 2017; 4: 248.
- 34. Wu M, Bian Q, Liu Y, et al. Sustained oxidative stress inhibits NF-kappaB activation partially via inactivating the proteasome. Free Radic Biol Med 2009; 46: 62-9.
- Warang P, Homma T, Pandya R, et al. Potential involvement of ubiquitinproteasome system dysfunction associated with oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of sickle cell disease. Br J Haematol 2018; 2018; 182: 559-566.
- Ciana A, Achilli C, Minetti G. Spectrin and Other Membrane-Skeletal Components in Human Red Blood Cells of Different Age. Cell Physiol Biochem 2017; 42: 1139-52.
- Delobel J, Prudent M, Rubin O, et al. Subcellular fractionation of stored red blood cells reveals a compartment-based protein carbonylation evolution. J Proteomics 2012; 76 (Spec No.): 181-93.
- Bosman GJ. The Proteome of the Red Blood Cell: An Auspicious Source of New Insights into Membrane-Centered Regulation of Homeostasis. Proteomes 2016; 4: 35.
- 39. D'Alessandro A, Righetti PG, Zolla L. The red blood cell proteome and interactome: an update. J Proteome Res 2010; **9**: 144-63.
- Pallotta V, D'Alessandro A, Rinalducci S, et al. Native protein complexes in the cytoplasm of red blood cells. J Proteome Res 2013; 12: 3529-46.
- 41. Rinalducci S, D'Amici GM, Blasi B, et al. Oxidative stress-dependent oligomeric status of erythrocyte peroxiredoxin II (PrxII) during storage under standard blood banking conditions. Biochimie 2011; 93: 845-53.
- Conconi M, Friguet B. Proteasome inactivation upon aging and on oxidation-effect of HSP 90. Mol Biol Rep 1997; 24: 45-50.
- Whittier JE, Xiong Y, Rechsteiner MC, et al. Hsp90 enhances degradation of oxidized calmodulin by the 20 S proteasome. J Biol Chem 2004; 279: 46135-47
- D'Alessandro A, D'Amici GM, Vaglio S, et al. Time-course investigation of SAGM-stored leukocyte-filtered red bood cell concentrates: from metabolism to proteomics. Haematologica 2012; 97: 107-15.
- Yoshida T, Prudent M, D'Alessandro A. Red blood cell storage lesion: causes and potential clinical consequences. Blood Transfus 2019; 17: 27-52.
- Wither M, Dzieciatkowska M, Nemkov T, et al. Hemoglobin oxidation at functional amino acid residues during routine storage of red blood cells. Transfusion 2016; 56: 421-6.
- Reisz JA, Wither MJ, Dzieciatkowska M, et al. Oxidative modifications of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase regulate metabolic reprogramming of stored red blood cells. Blood 2016; 128: e32-42.

- Delobel J, Prudent M, Tissot JD, et al. Proteomics of the red blood cell carbonylome during blood banking of erythrocyte concentrates. Proteomics Clin Appl 2016; 10: 257-66.
- Delobel J, Prudent M, Crettaz D, et al. Cysteine redox proteomics of the hemoglobin-depleted cytosolic fraction of stored red blood cells. Proteomics Clin Appl 2016; 10: 883-93.
- Scott MD, Zuo L, Lubin BH, et al. NADPH, not glutathione, status modulates oxidant sensitivity in normal and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase-deficient erythrocytes. Blood 1991; 77: 2059-64.
- Jang HH, Lee KO, Chi YH, et al. Two enzymes in one; two yeast peroxiredoxins display oxidative stress-dependent switching from a peroxidase to a molecular chaperone function. Cell 2004; 117: 625-35.
- D'Alessandro A, Hansen KC, Eisenmesser EZ, et al. Protect, repair, destroy or sacrifice: a role of oxidative stress biology in inter-donor variability of blood storage? Blood Transfus 2019: 17: :281-8.
- Cho CS, Yoon HJ, Kim JY, et al. Circadian rhythm of hyperoxidized peroxiredoxin II is determined by hemoglobin autoxidation and the 20S proteasome in red blood cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014; 111: 12043-8.
- Grune T, Merker K, Sandig G, et al. Selective degradation of oxidatively modified protein substrates by the proteasome. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2003; 305: 709-18.
- Shringarpure R, Grune T, Mehlhase J, et al. Ubiquitin conjugation is not required for the degradation of oxidized proteins by proteasome. J Biol Chem 2003; 278: 311-8.
- Murata S, Yashiroda H, Tanaka K. Molecular mechanisms of proteasome assembly. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2009; 10: 104-15.
- Tzounakas VL, Karadimas DG, Anastasiadi AT, et al. Donor-specific individuality of red blood cell performance during storage is partly a function of serum uric acid levels. Transfusion 2018; 58: 34-40.
- 58. Reinhart WH, Piety NZ, Deuel JW, et al. Washing stored red blood cells in an albumin solution improves their morphologic and hemorheologic properties. Transfusion 2015; **55**: 1872-81.
- Nemkov T, Sun K, Reisz JA, et al. Hypoxia modulates the purine salvage pathway and decreases red blood cell and supernatant levels of hypoxanthine during refrigerated storage. Haematologica 2018; 103: 361-72.
- Tsimokha AS, Zaykova JJ, Bottrill A, et al. Extracellular Proteasomes Are Deficient in 19S Subunits as Revealed by iTRAQ Quantitative Proteomics. J Cell Physiol 2017; 232: 842-51.
- 61. Sixt SU, Dahlmann B. Extracellular, circulating proteasomes and ubiquitin incidence and relevance. Biochim Biophys Acta 2008; **1782**: 817-23.
- 62. Fukasawa H, Kaneko M, Niwa H, et al. Circulating 20S proteasome is independently associated with abdominal muscle mass in hemodialysis patients. PLoS One 2015; **10**: e0121352.
- Geng Q, Romero J, Saini V, et al. Extracellular 20S proteasomes accumulate in packed red blood cell units. Vox Sang 2009; 97: 273-4.
- 64. Dieude M, Bell C, Turgeon J, et al. The 20S proteasome core, active within apoptotic exosome-like vesicles, induces autoantibody production and accelerates rejection. Sci Transl Med 2015; 7: 318ra200.
- Patel MB, Proctor KG, Majetschak M. Extracellular ubiquitin increases in packed red blood cell units during storage. J Surg Res 2006; 135: 226-32.
- Xu X, Martin F, Friedman JS. The familial Parkinson's disease gene DJ-1 (PARK7) is expressed in red cells and plays a role in protection against oxidative damage. Blood Cells Mol Dis 2010; 45: 227-32.
- Moscovitz O, Ben-Nissan G, Fainer I, et al. The Parkinson's-associated protein DJ-1 regulates the 20S proteasome. Nat Commun 2015; 6: 6609.
- Saito Y, Akazawa-Ogawa Y, Matsumura A, et al. Oxidation and interaction of DJ-1 with 20S proteasome in the erythrocytes of early stage Parkinson's disease patients. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 30793.
- Gupta N, Li W, Willard B, et al. Proteasome proteolysis supports stimulated platelet function and thrombosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2014; 34: 160-8.
- Natarajan P, Liu J, Santhanakrishnan M, et al. Bortezomib decreases the magnitude of a primary humoral immune response to transfused red blood cells in a murine model. Transfusion 2017; 57: 82-92.

ONLINE SUPPLEMENTAY CONTENT





Figure S1 - The three patterns of time course variation in the relative concentration of RBC membrane proteins during storage in CPD-SAGM as calculated by GeLC-MS proteomics analysis of pooled samples

Representative proteins showing progressive decrease (A) or increase (B) by storage time. (C) Representative proteins following variation similar to that of proteasome in membrane binding, with maximum values at middle storage. s0-s42: storage days 0-42.



s42

Figure S2 - Prdx2-oligomers in s42 membrane samples following treatment of RBCs with the thiol reagent N-ethylmaleimide to block sulfhydryl groups




Figure S3 - Comparative immunoblot analysis of proteasome and stress proteins in RBC membrane (M; dark grey color) and EVs (V; light grey color) of s42 samples Inserts: representative western blots. (*) p<0.05 membrane vs EVs (n=3, Mann-Whitney test); A.U.: arbitrary units; Error bars: ± standard error of the mean (SEM).

EVS	tri GGPDH																																																
	21 42																																													1			
CTRL	0 21 42			_																																		T	T		Ī	Γ				1			_
	GGPDH	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	5	3	5	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0 0	0	0	41	19	0	6	8	12	0	0	12	11
S	Control	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	7 0	-	1	0	41	17	1	7	9	12	6	1	32	13
	342 GGPDH C	0	13	12	7	6	9	17	11	20	14	12	6	20	5	7	0	0	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ח מ	0	0	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	G6PDHD42	0	41	49	37	39	42	45	47	46	37	43	28	56	22	45	31	36	24	11	37	30	16	0	32	23	25	10	22	10	4	14	26	14	13	0 0	30	0	-	77	0	1	0	9	0	0	0	. 2	0
	G6PDH D21	0	28	30	20	17	29	28	56	31	19	25	16	33	13	23	16	17	16	2	10	6	1	0	12	8	12	2	7	2	0	2	13	9	2	0 5	13	0	0	81	0	0	0	- 2	0	0	0	0	0
CS	Ctrl D2 G	0	4	3	0	3	e	4	2	ю	9	10	5	12	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 C	0	0	74	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0
	Ctrl D42	1	32	40	29	22	35	35	38	43	34	33	23	44	16	26	13	19	21	2	12	14	7	0	19	17	19	5	13	3	0	6	15	∞	4	0 6	18	0	0	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	C
	D21	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	8	1	9	9	Э	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0) c	0	0	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2 Ctrl	L	L		L		L	L		L	L	L	L		L																					1	\downarrow	ļ	L	ľ	L	L	L		Ц	╛			
	Subunit		205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	205	195	195	195	195	195	195		Ц	Ц	195	195		195	195	195	195	195	195	195	195	115				L								
	Uniprot	ECM29	PSMA1	PSMA2	PSMA3	PSMA4	PSMA5	PSMA6	PSMA7	PSMB1	PSMB2	PSMB3	PSMB4	PSMB5	PSMB6	PSMB7	PSMC1	PSMC2	PSMC3	PSMC4	PSMC5	PSMC6	PSMD1	PSMD10	PSMD11	PSMD12	PSMD13	PSMD14	PSMD2	PSMD3	PSMD4	PSMD5	PSMD6	PSMD7	PSMD8	PSMD9	PSIMET	PSMF1	PSMG1	RPS27A	UBA1	UBAC1	UBE2L3	UBE2N	UBE2V1	UBE2V2	UCH13	USP14	USPS
	MW (kDa)	204	30	56	28	29	56	27	28	56	23	23	29	28	25	30	49	49	49	47	46	44	106	24	47	53	43	35	100	61	41	56	46	37	40	52	77	30	33	18	118	45	18	17	16	16	26	26	96
	Iniprot AN	Q5VYK3	P25786	P25787	P25788	P25789	P28066	P60900	014818	P20618	P49721	P49720	P28070	P28074	P28072	Q99436	P62191	P35998	P17980	P43686	P62195	P62333	Q99460	075832	000231	000232	Q9UNM6	000487	Q13200	043242	P55036	Q16401	Q15008	P51665	P48556	000233	0911146	092530	095456	P62979	P22314	Q9BSL1	P68036	P61088	Q13404	Q15819	P15374	P54578	P45974
	n																7																	1	1	1	t	T	Ī	ı						1	1		
															•																				l														
								_ ~																											l														
	4}	M29																					SMD1	PSMD10	PSMD11	PSMD12	PSMD13	PSMD14	SMD2	SMD3	SMD4	SMDS	SMD6	SMD7	SMD8	SMD9						BAC1					CHL3		
	Proteins (934)	molog EC																	3	.4		Ce 90	bunit 1 P	bunit 10	bunit 11	bunit 12	bunit 13	bunit 14	ubunit 2 P.	bunit 3 P	ubunit 4 P	ubunit 5 Pt	ubunit 6 P	bunit 7 P.	bunit 8 P.	John 19 P.	PSIMET DSIME2			27A	1 UBA1	rotein 1 U	£13	N	UBE2V1	UBE2V2	yme L3 Ur	USP14	SPS
	P	ECM29 ho	-1 PSMA1	-2 PSMA2	-3 PSMA3	-4 PSMA4	-5 PSMA5	-6 PSMA6	-7 PSMA7	1 PSMB1	2 PSMB2	3 PSMB3	4 PSMB4	5 PSMB5	6 PSMB6	7 PSMB7	it 4 PSMC1	it 7 PSMC2	it GA PSMC	it 6B PSMC	it 8 PSMC5	it 10B PSM	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	gulatory su	subunit 1	unit PSMF1	ne 1 PSMG	n S27a RP	ng enzyme	ontaining p	E2 L3 UBE;	E2 N UBE2	E2 variant	E2 variant	drolase iso:	drolase 14	Arolase 5 1
Table 1.		ted proteir	alpha type	beta type-	ory subuni	ory subuni	ory subuni	ory subuni	ory subuni	ory subuni	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	ı-ATPase re	-AlPasere	r complex	r PI31 sub	ly chapero	mal protei	ier-activati	domain-c	ig enzyme	ig enzyme	ig enzyme	g enzyme	erminal hy	erminal hy	erminal hy												
Supplementary Table 1.		Proteasome-associated protein ECM29 homolog ECM29	Proteasome subunit alpha type-1 PSMA1	Proteasome subunit alpha type-2 PSMA2	Proteasome subunit alpha type-3 PSMA3	Proteasome subunit alpha type-4 PSMA4	Proteasome subunit alpha type-5 PSMA5	Proteasome subunit alpha type-6 PSMA6	Proteasome subunit alpha type-7 PSMA7	Proteasome subunit beta type-1 PSMB1	Proteasome subunit beta type-2 PSMB2	Proteasome subunit beta type-3 PSMB3	Proteasome subunit beta type-4 PSMB4	Proteasome subunit beta type-5 PSMB5	Proteasome subunit beta type-6 PSMB6	Proteasome subunit beta type-7 PSMB7	26S protease regulatory subunit 4 PSMC1	26S protease regulatory subunit 7 PSMC2	26S protease regulatory subunit 6A PSMC3	26S protease regulatory subunit 6B PSMC4	26S protease regulatory subunit 8 PSMC5	26S protease regulatory subunit 10B PSMC6	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 1 PSMD1	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 10 PSMD10	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 11 PSMD11	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 12 PSMD12	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 13 PSMD13	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 14 PSMD14	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 2 PSMD2	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 3 PSMD3	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 4 PSMD4	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 5 PSMD5	265 proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 6 PSMD6	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 7 PSMD7	26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 8 PSMD8	265 proteasome non-Al Pase regulatory subunit 9 PSMD9	Proteasome activator complex subunit 2 PSIME2 Proteasome activator complex subunit 2 PSIME2	Proteasome inhibitor PI31 subunit PSMF1	Proteasome assembly chaperone 1 PSMG1	Ubiquitin-40S ribosomal protein S27a RPS27A	Ubiquitin-like modifier-activating enzyme 1 UBA1	Ubiquitin-associated domain-containing protein 1 UBAC	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 L3 UBE2L3	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 N UBE2N	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 variant 1 UBE2V1	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 variant 2 UBE2V2	Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase isozyme L3 UCHL3	Jbiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 14 USP14	Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 5, USP5
Supple		Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Proteaso	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	26S prote	265 prot	Protesso	Proteaso	Proteaso	Ubiquitin	Ubiquitin	Ubiquitin	Ubiquitin	Ubiquitin	Ubiquitin	Ubiquitin	Ubiquitin	Ubiquitir	Ubjourtin
		39	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	2	2	3	4	9	1	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	22	24	23	43	49	44	45	46	47	48	42	40	41

Supplementary Table 2.			
Proteasome activities			
CASP	CASP-like activity (cytoplasm)		
CASPm	CASP-like activity (membrane)		
СН	CH-like activity (cytoplasm)		
CHm	CH-like activity (membrane)		
TR	TR-like activity (cytoplasm)		
TRm	TR-like activity (membrane)		
Metabolites			
Arach	Arachidonate metabolism		
GPL	Glycerophospholipid biosynthesis		
HSD	GSH homeostasis		
oxi_LP	oxi-Lipids		
PLST	Plasticizers		
ррр	Pentose Phosphate Pathway		
PUFA	Poly-unsaturated Fatty Acids		
Ser 1C	Serine biosynthesis and one-carbon metabolism		
Metabolites			
Aninoacids			
П	glutamate		
Q	glutamine		
1	leucine		
M	methionine		
Arachidonate metabolism			
Arach4	Prostaglandin F2alpha/beta/D1 (isobars)		
Arach6	Prostaglandin G2		
Arach9	Leukotriene A4		
Arach10	Leukotriene C4	oxi-Lipids	
Arginine and proline metabolism		oxi_LP3	hydroxy-dodecanedioic acid
Creat	Creatine	Plasticizers	
Glycolysis		PLST1	Phthalate
6PG	D-Glucose 6-phosphate	PLST2	2-Ethylhexyl phthalate
BPG	2-3-Bisphosphoglycerate	Pentose Phosphate Pathway	
Pyruv	Pyruvate	PPP1	6-Phospho-D-gluconate
Lact	Lactate	PPP2	D-Glucono-1-5-lactone 6-phosphate
Glycerophospholipid biosynthesis		PPP4	Sedoheptulose 1-phosphate
GPL6	Sphinganine 1-phosphate	Poly-unsaturated Fatty Acids	
GPL7	Sphingosine 1-phosphate	PUFA1	Linoleate
GSH homeostasis		PUFA2	Octadecatrienoic acid
GSH4	S-Glutathionyl-L-cysteine	Serine biosynthesis and one-carbon metabolism	
Nucleotides		Ser_1C_7	S-Adenosyl-L-homocysteine
	Hypoxanthine	Ser_1C_8	S-Adenosyl-L-methionine
NADPH	NADPH	TCA cycle	
Aden	Adenine	Citr	Citrate
CAMP	3, 5 Cyclic AMP	Fum	Fumarate
cIMP	3. 5-Cvclic IMP	Mal	Malate